Received 6 November 2006 Accepted 12 November 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Zhao-Peng Deng,^a Shan Gao^a and Seik Weng Ng^b*

^aCollege of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.029 wR factor = 0.080 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Hexaaquacobalt(II) bis(4-formylbenzoate) dihydrate

The crystal structure of the title compound, $[Co(H_2O)_6]$ - $(C_8H_5O_3)_2\cdot 2H_2O$, consists of octahedral Co^{II} complex cations that interact with the anions and uncoordinated water molecules, forming a tightly held, hydrogen-bonded layer. The Co^{II} complex cation lies on a special position of site symmetry $\overline{1}$.

Comment

The known transition metal derivatives of 4-formylbenzoic acid are water-coordinated compounds showing covalent metal–carboxylate bonds, for example the nickel(II) (Deng *et al.*, 2006*a*) and copper(II) (Deng *et al.*, 2006*b*) derivatives. However, the cobalt(II) derivative does not have this feature; the title compound (I) has a hexaaquacobalt cation (Table 1), and its charge is balanced by two uncoordinated 4-formyl-benzoate ions. There are one cation and two anions in the asymmetric unit along with two uncoordinated water molecules (Fig. 1). These are linked by hydrogen bonds (Table 2) into a layer structure. The Co^{II} complex cation lies on a special position of site symmetry $\overline{1}$.



Experimental

Cobalt diacetate trihydrate (0.116 g, 0.5 mmol) was added to an aqueous solution (20 ml) of 4-formylbenzoic acid (0.15 g, 1 mmol). Sodium hydroxide solution was added to obtain a pH 5. The filtered solution was allowed to stand for a few days for the pink prismatic crystals to separate. Elemental analysis calculated for $C_{16}H_{26}CoO_{14}$: C 38.33, H 5.23%; found: C 38.31, H 5.24%.

Crystal data

$[Co(H_2O)_6](C_8H_5O_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	$V = 538.20 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
$M_r = 501.30$	Z = 1
Triclinic, P1	$D_x = 1.547 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
a = 6.3470(3) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 7.4194 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.87 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 12.5041 (7) Å	T = 295 (2) K
$\alpha = 92.353 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Prism, pink
$\beta = 90.029 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$0.36 \times 0.28 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$
$\gamma = 113.801 \ (6)^{\circ}$	

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

metal-organic papers

Data collection

Rigaku RAXIS-RAPID IP diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan *ABSCOR* (Higashi, 1995) $T_{\min} = 0.614, T_{\max} = 0.860$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$ $wR(F^2) = 0.080$ S = 1.002451 reflections 187 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1-O1w Co1-O2w	2.127 (1) 2.071 (1)	Co1–O3 <i>w</i>	2.065 (1)
$\begin{array}{c} O1w - Co1 - O2w\\ O1w - Co1 - O3w \end{array}$	89.57 (5) 92.73 (6)	O2 <i>w</i> -Co1-O3 <i>w</i>	88.04 (6)

5333 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.017$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

2451 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0485P)^2]$

+ 0.2223P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

2325 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1w - H1w1 \cdots O1$	0.84 (1)	1.95 (1)	2.778 (2)	166 (2)
$O1w - H1w2 \cdots O2^{i}$	0.85 (1)	1.78 (1)	2.629 (2)	178 (3)
$O2w - H2w1 \cdots O1^{i}$	0.85 (1)	1.90 (1)	2.746 (2)	171 (2)
$O2w - H2w2 \cdots O4w^{ii}$	0.84 (1)	1.93 (1)	2.760 (2)	172 (2)
$O3w - H3w1 \cdots O3^{iii}$	0.84 (1)	1.89 (1)	2.715 (2)	165 (3)
$O3w - H3w2 \cdots O4w^{iv}$	0.84(1)	1.92 (1)	2.743 (2)	169 (2)
$O4w - H4w1 \cdots O1^v$	0.84 (1)	2.03 (2)	2.812 (2)	155 (3)
$O4w - H4w2 \cdots O1w$	0.84 (1)	2.05 (1)	2.872 (2)	168 (2)
$\begin{array}{c} O4w - H4w1 \cdots O1^{\vee} \\ O4w - H4w2 \cdots O1w \end{array}$	0.84 (1) 0.84 (1)	2.03 (2) 2.05 (1)	2.812 (2) 2.872 (2)	1

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (iv) x, y - 1, z; (v) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1.

The $-C_6H_{4^-}$ ring is disordered over two positions about the C2···C5 vector; the atoms C2 and C5 are ordered. The C–C distances in the ring were restrained to 1.39 (1) Å, and both components were restrained to be approximately planar. The displacement parameters of the primed atoms were set equal to those of the unprimed atoms. The occupancy factors refined to 0.52 (1):0.48 (1).



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plot of the unit-cell contents. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 70% probability level, and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. Only one disorder component is shown. [Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.]

Carbon-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically (C–H 0.93 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. The water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map, and were refined with a distance restraint of O–H 0.85 (1) Å; their displacement parameters were freely refined.

Data collection: *RAPID-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *RAPID-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *X-SEED* (Barbour, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2006).

We thank the Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation (No. B200501), the Scientific Fund for Remarkable Teachers of Heilongjiang Province (No. 1054 G036) and the University of Malaya for supporting this study.

References

- Barbour, L. J. (2001). J. Supramol. Chem. 1, 189-191.
- Deng, Z.-P., Gao, S. & Ng, S. W. (2006a). Acta Cryst. E62, m2904-m2905.
- Deng, Z.-P., Gao, S. & Ng, S. W. (2006b). Acta Cryst. E62, m2906-m2907.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku (1998). RAPID-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Rigaku/MSC (2002). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC Inc. The Woodlands, Texas, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Westrip, S. P. (2006). publCIF. In preparation.

Deng et al. • $[Co(H_2O)_6](C_8H_5O_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$

m3424